G. R. S. 59]

GPD—15,000—20 12 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19D2-03 Section II

(Miscellaneous)

File No. 34

Serial Nos. 1—9

Papers Filed.

Month September 1904

SUBJECT.

File relating to Sanctioning an Estimate for Rs 3340/- for the repairs of the Sri Ranganatha Swamy Temple at Fort, Kanakanahalli Town.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:— Repairs to the Sri Ramanathaswami temple Kankanhalli

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 1091 dated 8th April 96 form the Dy Comr, Bangalore.

The estimate for Rs 3340 for repairing this temple was sanctioned in Govt Rc: No: 2388-9 dated 9th Sept 1896. On account of this amount, the devadees of the Temple contributed Rs 2086-14-6, and the balance, viz, Rs 1271-1-6 was allotted from the State grant of 1896-

96

2. In his letter No. 815 dated 31st Jan 4 last, the Dy. Comr reported that the devotees to whom the work had been given on contract, as directed in the Pro: quoted above, have repaired the temple at a total cost of Rs 7143-10-0. This sum includes the State grant of Rs 1271-1-6 sanctioned for the repairs of the temple.

3. As this State grant was not drawn during the year of sanction, it has lapsed. The Dy. Comr was asked why the amount was not drawn in time, and he was also informed that in the absence of satisfactory explanation

5 years.

3. explanation for the unusually long delay in the submission of the completion bill, the amount there of cannot be sanctioned from this year's State Grant.

*) Dy Com's Letter No. 10917 dt. 4.6.08

4. By way of explanation, the Dy Com* states that the devotees, who repaired the temple, spent first the contributions collected for the purpose, that the necessary workmen had to be procured from Bangalore and that as Plague was prevailing at Bangalore it took three or four years to complete the repairs of the temple. As the cost of the

work executed far
exceeds the amount
sanctioned therefor,
the Dy Comr requests
that the Govt will
be pleased to sanction
the bill now submitted
by him

There is a sum
of about Rs 5000
still remaining
out of the State Grant
of the current official Year.

Submitted for orders

Rs
13/5/03

Note continued: — 5

The Completion by the Dy Comr bill submitted for the repairs executed to the temple was returned with the observation that the necessity for paying the amount of the above bill out of the State grant was not clear seeing that the devotees had repaired the temple at a cost of Rs 7143-10-0 and that they had paid the whole amount out of the contributions made by themselves for the purpose. It was also pointed out that payment from the State grant could only be made when there is actual and real need for it, but that the

in the present case, the devotees were able to meet the entire cost themselves, the Dy Comr. was asked to explain the necessity to indent upon the State grant.

In reply, the Dy Commissioner states* that the devotees who undertook the work on contract, bore the cost of the excess work in their capacity as Contractors and that in their capacity as devotees of the temple, as promised they contributed some money towards its repairs, and that as the Contractors have spent a large amount for the work in anticipation

* Letter No. 1479 Dy 25.6.03

of being reimbursed
out of the State Grant,
the Dy Comr requests
that Govt may be
pleased to sanction
the amount of the
Completion bill under
reference out of the
State Grant.

Submitted to the Diwan

Rs
30/6/03

In the present case
the devotees have not
only liberally contributed
but have also completed
the work in anticipation
of the receipt of the
State Grant which had
been actually promised
to them in Govt Proceedings
Under these circs
I think the amount may
be sanctioned from the
State Grant ~~year~~ of the
current official year CR
7/7/03

0312 8
Yes - Can you spare
some Rs - ~~out of~~ whether
so much money
can be spent upon it
If the work has been
done will the promise
of grant be given
in writing
of course Mrs 11320
10/7/03 19.VII.03 8 7.03

Note Continued. — 8

As directed by the Dewan on the preceding page, I inspected the temple in person on the 7th instant at Kankanhalli. It is the only temple of importance in the town. A wealthy Patel named Gowrikar Thimmappa has taken much interest in the temple and has had extensive repairs done to it from his own funds and from Contributions collected from devotees through his influence. The whole temple has been made quite new, and has undoubtedly cost Rs. 7000/- while the estimate Sanctioned by Government in 1896 was only Rs. 3340. Under these circumstances, the Contribution of Rs. 1271-1-6 promised by Govt in Pro: No. 388-9 dated 9th September 1896, may, it is submitted, be paid from the State Grant of this year.

A draft order is put up. As it I have, as an encouragement, added a few words conveying the Govt's appreciation of Gowrikar Thimmappa's work.

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2- Councillor.

31/8/04.

Government may be communicated, if, draft, approved.

3/9/04

75 - 31-8-04

1414 C.C.a

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE

SUBJECT

Repair of the Sri Ranganadhaswami Temple @ Kankanhalli

Letter No 12 dated 28 Jan 96

from the Asst Comr Bangalore Dt

LAST REFERENCE.

During the personal inspection of Temple in Jan 1893, the Mun. Suptt has recorded the following as to the state of the Temple buildg

"The Temple is in a dilapidated condition, and the Village people

does not appear to have
The Temple [illegible] Savings.
Yajnik
I recommend an allowance
of Rs 4-0-0 a month,
besides some Muzrai inam
granted to the archaka &
certain servants.

The Mun. Asst Comr
has sent a reminder
soliciting early sanction
to the Estimate. In the
Asst's report submitted
this month, it is
reported that the devotees
who have contributed
towards the repairs
are very anxious
that the work
should be executed &
[illegible] the
Temple to save the
Temple from further
decay

Ans Taya 4/2

it shd be repaired-
They shd be asked ~~to be~~ what-
~~they are to~~ Sum they wd
Contribute; the pattidar
Sanctioning a Sum equi-
valent ~~of~~ their Contri-
bution".

An Estimate for
Rs 5000 has been subm.
for sanction of Govt.
The devotees ~~have~~ have
Contributed Rs 2068-14-6
towards the Cost of the repairs
This Sum has been already
Collected & deposited in
the Taluk Sam Bank.
The D.C. in his Rukkari
No. 459 dy 27th Jan
has recommended that
the balance of the Estimated
Cost viz 2431-1-6;
may be granted by Govt

Memo

As directed by the Muzrai Superintendant I proceeded to Kankanhalli and inspected the temple of Sree Ranganatha Swami on the 4th August 1896.

The temple is in a very dilapidated condition, portions of the walls of the main building having cracked probably owing to the settlement of the foundations The whole building is leaky and the small goparum of the Moola-Devaru is in a dangerous state of disrepair. The compound wall has tumbled down.

2 I have revised the estimate received from the Dy. Comr Bangalore District with reference to the urgent needs of the Institution

All the items of repairs noted in the above estimate are necessary, except the flooring of the Prakaram with stone slabs, for which a sum of Rs 1353 has been provided. The present flooring is of concrete and it is in fairly good condition and need not therefore be disturbed.

The rates provided for the other items of repairs are excessive and may be reduced.

A revised estimate for Rs 3340 is accordingly submitted for orders.

Balakrishna Rau
Mus overseer

7th August 06
Bangalore

Regno 372.

21/10/8/96

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1896 [illegible] 10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2000 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 800 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪಿಡಬ್ಲ್ಯೂಡಿ ೨೦೦ ಎಸ್‌ಎಸ್‌ಎಲ್ ೨೦೨೧ (ಭಾಗ-೨)

ಲೋಕೋಪಯೋಗಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸಚಿವರ ಕಛೇರಿ
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 589

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 12/9/2018

ಸೇವೆಗೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ

ಮಾಜಿ ಸೈನಿಕರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಸೈನಿಕ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ ಸಾಲ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 9-4-2018

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ತಾವು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿ, ತಾವು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಗತ್ಯ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

1. ಸೈನಿಕ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ ನೀಡಿರುವ ಮಾಜಿ ಸೈನಿಕರ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿ.
2. ಆಧಾರ್ ಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಪ್ರತಿ.
3. ಪಡಿತರ ಚೀಟಿ ಪ್ರತಿ.
4. ಪಾಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಳತೆಯ ಭಾವಚಿತ್ರ - 2

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ನಂತರ ಅರ್ಹತೆಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸೈನಿಕ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ ಸಾಲ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ,

ಸಹಾಯಕ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು

ಸೈನಿಕ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ,

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਨਿੱਜੀ ਕੰਮ ਕਾਰ ਲਈ ਮਿਤੀ 3 ਜੂਨ ਤੋਂ 7 ਜੂਨ ਤੱਕ ਦੀ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲੈਣੀ ਹੈ। ਮੇਰੀ ਗੈਰ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੇਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਕੰਮ ਕਾਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਕਲਰਕ ਕਰਨਗੇ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ,

ਆਪ ਦਾ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰੀ

ਮਿਤੀ 6.6.96

Regn. 191

తీర్పు నకలు

No. M.12
Sm I

ఇరువురు ప్రతివాదులకు

తీర్పులు ఇవ్వబడినది.

తేదీ 28-ఫిబ్రవరి-1896

వ్యవహార న్యాయాధికారి

For Deputy Commissioner

కాన్ కాన్ దళ్ళు కేసు విచారణ చేసి అభిప్రాయాలు అందజేయగా
రంగన్ దళ్ళు స్థలం విడిచిపెట్టడం ధృవీకరించి అందుకు
తగిన ఆధారాలు అందజేయడమైనది కనుక
1-2-96 తేదీ నుండి 457 చదరపు గజాలకు
మాత్రమే లైసెన్సు ఫీజు. అంతకు అధికంగా ఆక్రమించి
ఉన్నట్లు 6-6-96 తేదీ నుండి 21 చదరపు గజాలకు
లైసెన్సు ఫీజు రద్దు చేయడమైనది. ఈ విషయమై
దరఖాస్తు చేసుకోవడానికి 30 రోజుల గడువు ఇవ్వడమైనది
అప్పటికి దరఖాస్తు రాని పక్షంలో ఆక్రమణ తొలగించడం జరుగుతుంది.

వ్యవహార న్యాయాధికారి

Read:— Rubkari no 459 dy
27th January 1896, from the
Dy: Commr., Bangalore
Dt., Submitting for sanction
an Estimate amounting
to Rs 5000 for repairing
the Sri Ranganathaswamy
temple at Kankanhalli
and reporting that the
devotees have paid
Rs 2068-14-6 as their
contribution towards
the cost of the repairs.

And, Petition Reg: no 372
dy 10th August 1896, from
Hasige Gundappa,
Gurucar Timma Gowda
and Gajamana Srinivasa
Setty of Kankanhalli,
stating that they have
contributed Rs 800 for
the repairs of the temple

and

and praying that
the execution of the wo.
may be entrusted to them
on Contract, instead of
being put into the hands
of professional Contractors,
as the latter will not do
it with zeal and economy.

Order thereon No 388-9 d/9-9-96

En file with plan &c

The amount of
the Estimate submitted
by the Dy: Commr., having
been considered rather high,
the Muzrai overseer was
deputed from this office
to inspect the temple
and report upon the
necessary items of repairs
The overseer reports that

all

all the items of repairs noted in the above Estimate are necessary except the flooring of the Temple Prakaram for which a sum of Rs 1353 has been provided. The present flooring is reported to be of concrete and in a fairly good condition; and it need not therefore be disturbed. Further the rates of charges provided for the other items of repairs are reported to be excessive and capable of reduction. A Revised Estimate for Rs 3340 has accordingly been prepared by the Mirasi overseer to carry out the necessary repairs needed to the Institution.

2. In these circumstances the Revised Estimate for Rs 3340 is sanctioned

the charge being met
as follows: —

Rs 2068-14-6 being the
amount of contributions reported to have
been paid by the devotees and ~
deposited in the Taluk Savings Bank;

and

Rs 1271-1-6 from out of the State grant
of Rs 15000 sanctioned in Govt.
Pro: No. 809 of 3rd February 1896
Musz 105-92
for the repairs of the Muz: Buildings
of the Province.

3. The work should be
executed under the immediate
Supervision of the Muz.
Assistant Commr. of the
District,

4. The Comptroller will
be requested to place the
State grant of Rs 1271-1-6
herein sanctioned at the
disposal

of the Dy: Commrs.,
Bangalore District.

5. With regard to the petition of the devo Hasige Gundappa others read above, the Govt. observe that execution of the repairs as per Estimate above sanctioned may, if Dy: Commr. sees no objection be given on Contract to the petitioners in Compliance with their prayer, being local residents who have contributed largely towards the co of the repairs in ques and as such are likely to execute the work with more personal attention and devotion than outside profess' Contractors.

Fair drafts

To The Dy: Commr. Bangalore Dt. with the revised Estimate sanctioned and returning encls. Comptroller with a copy of the Abstract (revised Estimate)

C.M. Murdai Secy

Muz. No. 1]

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT)

Registered No.— 1738

Name of Petitioner— కొంసకొనరుల్లకర్ణ
బాదల్లరువశీధంచనాధస్వామి
రుపేరదేవుడదభమందిరశీకట్టల్లరు
Address— [redacted] సురికొరరిమ్మినాథ,కుసిదీయుం
పెట్టిదా.

Date of Petition— 16. 4 98

Date of Receipt— 21. 4. 98

No. of Enclosures—

Abstract

శీరంచనాధస్వామిరువ
రదేవుడరిపేరినాశిండ్
రెట్టశిద్ధమేంశలుభ్యేశిద్ధ
ఫొంనారాశిస్యేందుచింవి
రరుంపొంలు తమిగేపించవి-
రరుంగిరుక్కే; బూశిమేంశల
సురేరాడిరచిచ్చేట్లు

ઉપરોક્ત વિષયે જણાવવાનું કે, આપ સાહેબ દ્વારા ગુજરાત સરકારના ઉદ્યોગ વિભાગના તા. ૨૫/૦૨/૨૦૨૨ ના ઠરાવ ક્રમાંક: ઔદ્યોગિક-૧૦૨૦૨૨-૧૦૨૨૨૨-ઉ.૧ થી જાહેર કરવામાં આવેલ નવી ઔદ્યોગિક વસાહત યોજના-૨૦૨૨ અંતર્ગત ઔદ્યોગિક વસાહત વિકસાવવા માટે જમીન ફાળવણી કરવા બાબત.

ઉપરોક્ત વિષયે જણાવવાનું કે, આપ સાહેબ દ્વારા ગુજરાત સરકારના ઉદ્યોગ વિભાગના તા. ૨૫/૦૨/૨૦૨૨ ના ઠરાવ ક્રમાંક: ઔદ્યોગિક-૧૦૨૦૨૨-૧૦૨૨૨૨-ઉ.૧ થી જાહેર કરવામાં આવેલ નવી ઔદ્યોગિક વસાહત યોજના-૨૦૨૨ અંતર્ગત ઔદ્યોગિક વસાહત વિકસાવવા માટે જમીન ફાળવણી કરવા બાબત.

ઉપરોક્ત વિષયે જણાવવાનું કે, આપ સાહેબ દ્વારા ગુજરાત સરકારના ઉદ્યોગ વિભાગના તા. ૨૫/૦૨/૨૦૨૨ ના ઠરાવ ક્રમાંક: ઔદ્યોગિક-૧૦૨૦૨૨-૧૦૨૨૨૨-ઉ.૧ થી જાહેર કરવામાં આવેલ નવી ઔદ્યોગિક વસાહત યોજના-૨૦૨૨ અંતર્ગત ઔદ્યોગિક વસાહત વિકસાવવા માટે જમીન ફાળવણી કરવા બાબત.

೨೦೦೦	೨	೧
ಸಾವಿರ	೨	೨
೨೦೦೦	೨	೩

ಇದು ಕನ್ನಡ ಬಾಷೆಯ ಕೆಲವು ಪದಗಳು ಅಂದಾಜು ಅರ್ಥವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ

ಚಿತ್ರಕೃಪೆ: ಸಾಲುಮರದ ತಿಮ್ಮಕ್ಕ ಅವರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುವ ಪುಸ್ತಕ

ಕೆಲವು ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಥವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ

4/12/00 — 3:1

To be submitted
to Kurukumbalur

SL 2

1901/03

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Dy Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Mysore Dept.

Dated 31st January
Despatched 2nd February } 1903
Received

General No.
D No. 815

Enclosure No. One.

Govt Proc: No 388-9/1896 of 9th Sept 1896

Submits for transmission to the Comptroller for audit and return for payment, a completion bill for Rs 1271-1-6 on account of repairs done to the Sri Ranganatha Swamy temple at Kankanahalli

Clerk
Issue clerk
2/2/03
2 forwarded
Rd
5/2/03

D No 815

Sea. Dept.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 31st January, 1903

Sir,

I have,

By Commissioner
Darjeeling District.

To,

The Accountant General Bengal,
Bye-Secretariat, Writers Buildings,
Municipal Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government
Proceedings No 858-9 dated 7th
September 1896, sanctioning
the collection of Rs 330/8/- (
(Rs 2068-14-6 out of which have been
allotted from the Contributions
paid by the Darjeeling, and Rs 1291-1-6
from the State Government of Rs 15000/-
and directed the Government Pro-
ceedings No 809 T dated 3rd
September, 1896) for the repair
of Sri Mangaon Bhavan and temple

2/. at Kankanhalli, I have the honor to state that work to the extent of Rs 7143-10-0 i.e., to the extent of Rs 4803-10-0 over the sanctioned estimate, has been executed to the temple by the devotees of the temple and to forward, herewith, the Completion bill for Rs 121-1-6 for transmission to the Comptroller for audit and return for repayment. The completion bill is for work actually done, to be met from the sanctioned grant and does not relate to the amount contributed by the devotees and the excess work above referred to

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District

Mdy

MUZRAI

19 —19

Sl 2

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY;
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Dy: Commissioner
Bangalore Dt.

Dated 14th February 1903.
Despatched

Received

General No. 1638.
Muzrai F. No. 84-02
Enclosure No. 1 ~~Feb 1903~~

His Letter no 815 dt 31st January 1903 ~~from the~~ forwarding for audit & Submitting a Completion bill for Rs 1271-1-6 on account of repairs done to the Sri Ranganatha-Swami temple at Kankanhalli.

"

With reference to the above has the honor to enquire whether the amount of Rs 1271-1-6 sanctioned for the repairs in question out of the state grant of Rs 15000/- allotted for repairs of muzra buildings.

MGCPB—2,000—7-6 02

ing 189-7 & was
ordered to be placed at the
disposal of the Deputy Commr., was actually
drawn and credited to the
Institution or allowed
to lapse not having been drawn during last year,
on the 31st Commr. as in the letter cus
proposes to meet the present
charge of the bill -

2. It must be ~~remarked~~ added that the ~~allotment~~
State grant of Rs 15000/-
provided for the current year
having all been allotted, no portion thereof
~~and so that nothing~~
can be spared out of the same
~~for~~ towards the bill in
question

3. The enclosures are
herewith returned for

By Order

Dy: Secy
Genl & Judl Dept

2 As the amount of satisfactory explanation as to the unusually long delay in the submission of this bill, the payment thereof cannot be sanctioned from this year's state grant.

Encls noted -

By

13/2/43

Dy Secy

Rs
12/2/03

Reg.? No 836

1902 to 03

SL 31

FROM The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Dy Secy to the
Govt of Mysore

Dated 8th
Despatched 14th } Mysrai Dept April 1903

Received

General No.

D No. 1091

Enclosure No. 5 M.

14.4.03.

CKm

Please put up a short

note

ks

20/4/03

Dy Secy's docket No. 1638
Mug 734 02
of 11th Feb 1903

Submits information on certain points in regard to the Completion bill for 127-1-6 for the repairs done to Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Kankanhalli

Bangalore District

D No 1091 / Su. Sh.

Deputy Commissioner's office

Bangalore 8th April 1903.

From H. H. Sparkes Esqr

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District.

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esqr

Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No: 1638 / Muz. 734-02 dated 14th February 1903, returning a completion bill for Rs 1271-1-6 for the repairs done to Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Kankanhalli, I have the honor to state that this amount was not drawn and credited to the temple funds, as the Government order No: 2098-17 / Muz: F. 105-92 dated 7th June 1902, directing that the amount be drawn and credited to the funds of the

stitution

Institution was received only last year. Also demand for the money was not made in the same year, as the work was given on contract to the devotees of the temple who paid the amount from the contributions collected for the purpose. As the work executed to the temple far exceeds the amount sanctioned, i,e; by Rs 4,803-10-0 and thus assumed large dimensions, all the necessary workmen have had to be procured from Bangalore. There was plague in Bangalore during the last 3 or 4 years. Under the above circumstances, the delay in pushing on the work was unavoidable and I therefore request that Government may be pleased to sanction the amount of the bill, herewith, submitted from the current year's budjet and forward it on to the Comptroller for audit.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

H H Sparkes

Deputy Commissioner

MUZRAI

19 —19 Sl 4

From The DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Dy Comr
Bangalore Dt

Dated
Despatched 22 May 03
Received

General No. 2295
Muzrai F. No. 34-1902
Enclosure No. 1

1091

His letter No. ~~1030~~ dated 10th April 1903 regarding the repairs executed to the Sri Ranganatheswami temple at Kankan-halli.

I am directed to state that from his letter under reply it is observed that the devotees of the temple have spent Rs 7140 for repairing it and that the repairs have been completed

From the Dy Comr's letter it is observed that the entire work to the extent of Rs 7143-10-0 was carried out by the devotees, the Muzrai Department having had nothing to do with the whole charge

MGCPB—2,000—7-6-02

and that there is no further work to be carried out. As such it is not understood to whom the completion bill for Rs 1271-1-6 is to be paid. The necessity for re-sanctioning the State grant is not explained in the letter under reference.

2. The bill is returned for re-submission together with the information called for and the other papers bearing on the case

By order

Dfl.

Dy Secretary
Mun: dept

Contributions collected from them. If such is the case & even if all payments to workmen and others have been made, it is not clear why any payment from the State Grant is necessary at this stage - The object why the Municipality sanctioned a sum of Rs 1271-1-6 in their proceedings of 1896, was to supplement the contributions of Rs 2068-14-6 reported to have been paid by the devotees up to that date - But as the devotees themselves appear to have been ~~since~~ ~~were~~ able to meet the entire cost themselves

themselves, it is presumed that there is no necessity to insist upon the State grant.

The Completion bill is accordingly noted for reference & report with full information on the points noted above

Dft. 19/5/03

Es 18/5/03

Sl S — 1902/03

FROM The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt

Dated 25th
Despatched 27th } June 1903
Received

General No.
D No. 1479

Enclosure No. One

By Secy's docket No. 2295 of 24-1902

22nd May 1903.

He submits for sanction bill for Rs 1271-1-6 for repairs executed to the Sri Ranganatha Swamy temple at Kankanhalli with information on certain points

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 27-6-03
REG No 1098
MUZRAI BRANCH

Dr. No 1429
Su: Ou.

Bangalore
Deputy Commissioners
Camp Rajamunkunte.
25th June 1903

From,

M. H. Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Inam Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In resubmitting herewith, the bill for Rs 1,271-1-6, received with your docket No. 2295/34-1902 dated the 22nd May 1903, for the work executed to the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Kon Konkolli, I have the honor to state that the devotees who have taken the contract, have borne the cost of the wood work. Their Capacity as Contractors is different from that of their Capacity as devotees as which they contributed some amount, as at first promised and note, that Contribution has been spent

in addition to the State Grant. As these men advanced some amount in anticipation of being reimbursed as Contractors, the Government Grant still remaining unutilized viz, Rs 1271/1/6 has now to be paid to them.

I therefore request that Government may be pleased to sanction the amount of the bill.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore district

Received
30/11/03

Sub: Letter with
enclosures of
Application
after part heard
and adjourned by
the Bench

8/12/03

840.

9 25

Refund

Refund

Sl 7

No. 1713 07.12.03.

Sub:

శ్రీ నిండారు కార్మిక సంఘములు

రంగుల్లలంకోయిందా

కానకానక్కి శిలాల్లాంకు శ్రీరంగంద్రి

నాల్తిందువరదేలవసిద్ధినదద్ద ఎల్లదశిల

గలిశిరంరశి శ్రీమ్మీ గా ——

నిచుక్క సుంరిశిళాంకిలరాత్రిశిగారత

నవంశవ23 దీపరశ్మం84 దీశ్లాలజ్ఞ

శ్రీమశిశాంయిశాలా ——

నదరి.నీలవసిద్ధినదీనశరదిరువరి

శీలరి శాంశుగారి యలన్న నాలవ ~~చూడండి~~

ఎల్లాశిశందు.రుంశి రుంగ్ శ్రీరంగ్రి

నంశిరశాంశిలగుశిరవశ్రిదిశార శీవన్నీ

మంత్రులందిశాం శీశ్లీదువదాలా —

దీరుగతి

Sd/-

10/12/03 Dy Secretary to Govt.

Murzai

Read:- SL 8

Govt Pro no. 388-9, dated 9th September 1896, sanctioning an estimate amounting to Rs 3340/- as particularized in the margin, for repairing the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Kankanhalli

And,

Correspondence ending with letter no. D 1479, dated 25th June 1903, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt., reporting on the repairs executed to the above temple

* Rs 2068 14-6 from contributions from devotees
Rs 1271 - 1-6 from the State Grant of 1896-97
Rs 3340 -n -n

—"—

Order thereon

no. 1104-5 Encl
m: 7: no. 34-02 dy 26:9:04.

In the correspondence read above, the deputy Commr.

reports that the devotees of the
Institution to whom the work
of repairing had been entrusted,
on contract, have completed the
repairs at a total cost of Rs7143-10-0
~~including the~~ The State Grant of
Rs1271-1-6 sanctioned therefor
in the Govt: Bro: of the 9th Sept: 1896
was however not drawn at the time,
~~and above which is the amount~~
and the amount therefore lapsed.
~~however not having been drawn~~
~~and credited to the funds of the~~
~~Instn: before the close of that~~
~~official year, lapsed, and as~~ As the entire
cost of completing the said repairs
has far exceeded the sanctioned amount sanct
and has been actually paid up
by the devotees out of contributions
in anticipation of the receipt

of the sanctioned State Grant, the Deputy Commr: recommends that the Completion bill for Rs 7143-10-0 submitted by him may be sanctioned, and renewed sanction obtained for ~~the~~ payment of the sum of Rs 1271-1-6 from the State Muzrai Budget.

2. It is also reported by the Secretary, to Govt: Muz: Deptt, who recently inspected the temple, ~~who had gone on a tour of inspection of the Muzrai Institutions in the Bangalore Dt: during the current month,~~ that the repairs of the said temple has been very satisfactorily executed through the Exertions of Gurikar Nimmappa, a devotee of the Institution

3. In these circs:, the Completion bill is sanctioned ~~for~~

~~Rs 1271-1-6~~ and forwarded to me with the request that the sum of Rs. 1271-1-6 promised from State funds in Govt Pro: No. 388-9 dated 9th Sep 1896, be now paid to Gurikar Thimmappa on account of the work, to the Comptroller for audit, the charge being met from the State Grant provided in the current year's Muzrai Budget. The old estimate for Rs 3340- sanctioned for the repairs of the temple in the Govt Pro: of the 9th Sept 1896, is hereby cancelled.

4. The Govt are pleased to take this opportunity to place on record their appreciation of the liberality ~~and encouragement~~ and public spirit of the said Gurikar Thimmappa in having the repairs executed to the temple at great cost and trouble to himself.

Secretary,
Muz: Deptt.

To
The Dy Commr., Bangalore Dt.,
& Comptr., with the Complete bill.

9.IX.04

(1587) Grant towards Gurikar Thimmappa

Read 10/9/04

శ్రీనివాసశ్రీమద్రామానుజాయనమః

రంగారద్దప్పేంటపల్లిపిరాలలు గ్రా

మం పోయింద

need for despatch
on 4:10:04.

శ్రీవత్సవక్షస్థ్సలకినిభాద్భుతాయతవ్రీ

రంగనాథస్వామియవరదీప్రవర్తినదధ

No: 1324 Rc 1
M: 7: 34-02
ది 4-10-04

ళీదభక్తిశాలురుకొంరతిమ్మన్నవరిక్రీ-

వదరిదీప్రవర్తినద ~~దివ్యదేశ~~ శిలాల

గాంతిగాంతినిల్చునిమ్మనేంకదింద ~~దివ్యదేశ~~

~~ద్రవ్యభోగిరాంద్రిశ్రీదధ్రవక్తి చెలుపుదీప్ర~~

~~ఉత్సవదింద కీలనమంత్రిశిలావదరున~~

~~శ్రీరదవరవరాంగరికైంకర్యశందులు~~

~~శ్రీరదవరుశఠగోపన్ను శిరస్సు~~

~~అయిలవనింగరినిలుగిశ్వాశయుక్తి~~

Draft

By order

28/9/04

Secretary to Govt

Marwari Schools

28/7/04